

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,365	07/31/2003	Snorri T. Ingvarsson	YOR920030045US1 3988 (590.104)		
35195	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER		
FERENCE &	ASSOCIATES	MENZ, DOUGLAS M			
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- , -		2891		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Office Action Summary		10/632,365	INGVARSSON ET	AL. AND		
		Examiner	Art Unit	4		
		Douglas M. Menz	2891			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or of	ER; FROM THE MAILING DA able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. If above, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,				
1) Responsive to com	nmunication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ne 2005	,			
2a) ☐ This action is FINA		action is non-final.				
<u>'</u>	,		rescution as to the	morite is		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ciosed in accordan	ce with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	JO O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/ar	e pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above cl	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/a	are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examiner	-				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
		aminer. Note the attached Office		• •		
		armier. Note the attached emoc	Action of format is	0-102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1						
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application from	* c) None of: ies of the priority documents ies of the priority documents e certified copies of the priori rom the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National S	Stage		
3) Information Disclosure Staten	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-	-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: <u>Search History</u> .						

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-9, in the reply filed on 6/20/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden to the examiner to examine all Groups. This is not found persuasive because it has been shown in Paper Dated 5/19/05, that this application contains multiple distinct inventions. Therefore, any searching or consideration beyond that of the scope of one invention would be deemed burdensome.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2891

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst et al. (US 5956267) in view of Daughton et al. (US PGPUB 2004/0023065).

Regarding claim 1, Hurst discloses an integrated circuit comprising:

A conductor (120, Fig. 16) for generating a magnetic field, the conductor having first and second surfaces (bottom surface 124, Fig. 16 and respective top surface uncovered) and sides (126a-b, Fig. 16 and Col. 7, lines: 5-15);

A magnetic liner (122, Fig. 16) lining at least the sides and second surface of the conductor (Fig. 16 and Col. 7, lines: 5-15).

Hurst further discloses that the magnetic liner (122, Fig. 16 corresponding to 30, Fig. 4) comprises a soft magnetic material formed from NiFe, **NiFeCo**, CoFe or other similar materials (Col. 5, lines: 25-35 and Col. 7, lines: 5-15), however, Hurst does not explicitly disclose that the magnetic liner has super-paramagnetic properties.

Daughton discloses structures formed from **NiFeCo** with super-paramagnetic properties (paragraph 0079). Daughton further discloses that the advantages of super-paramagnetic materials include zero magnetic hysteresis and high sensitivity (paragraphs 0038-0040). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the **NiFeCo** with super-paramagnetic properties for Hurst's magnetic liner since Daughton explicitly details the advantages of such materials.

Art Unit: 2891

mentioned above.

Regarding claim 2, Daughton further discloses wherein the super-paramagnetic properties include a high susceptibility (paragraph 69). Claim 2 further details the super-

paramagnetic properties of Daughton's material and as such the motivation to combine

is the same as mentioned above.

Regarding claim 3, Daughton further discloses wherein the super-paramagnetic film comprises a ferromagnetic film with a microstructure (12, Figs. 5A-B) having non-exchanged coupled micro domains whose size is so small that their energy content is close to or small compared to kT, whereby such film has super-paramagnetic properties (paragraphs 0069 and 0079). Claim 3 further details the super-paramagnetic properties of Daughton's material and as such the motivation to combine is the same as

Regarding claim 4, Daughton further discloses wherein the ferromagnetic film is a deposition of ferromagnetic nano-particles (12, Figs. 5A-B and paragraph 0079). Claim 4 further details Daughton's super-paramagnetic film and as such the motivation to combine is the same as mentioned above.

Regarding claim 5, Hurst further discloses wherein the liner further comprises a first diffusion barrier (36, Fig. 4 and Col. 5, lines: 25-35) between an outer surface of the liner and a dielectric layer (10, Fig. 4) in which the conductor is disposed and a second

Art Unit: 2891

diffusion barrier (38, Fig. 4 and Col. 5, lines: 25-35) disposed between an inner surface of the liner and the conductive line.

Regarding claim 6, Hurst further discloses wherein the diffusion barriers (36, 38, Fig. 4) are Tantalum nitride (TaN)/ Tantalum (Ta) (Col. 5, lines: 25-35).

Regarding claims 7-8, Hurst further discloses wherein the first surface is a top surface (between bit line 132 and word line 120)of the conductor that is adjacent to a magnetic element (between bitline 132 and wordline 120, Fig. 16, Col. 7, lines: 5-15 and Col. 1, lines: 45-50).

Regarding claim 9, Hurst further discloses wherein the diffusion barriers (36, 38, Fig. 4) are Tantalum nitride (TaN)/ Tantalum (Ta) (Col. 5, lines: 25-35).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas M. Menz whose telephone number is 571-272-1877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2891

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DM